

# Sustainability Performance Data Supplement



#### Letter to Stakeholders

#### Dear Reader,

As we write this letter, the world is going through an unprecedented event, fighting the COVID-19 pandemic which is causing health, social and economic challenges across the globe. Governments, businesses and society are working together to navigate through these very difficult times. As a company and as individuals, we are supporting this fight with all means at our disposal. Our priority is the health, safety and well-being of our employees, and the communities in which we operate. We have introduced measures to limit the possible spread of the virus within our operations, and we are operating under these limitations. We believe united we can resolve this, and DPM will be there to lead and support the initiatives to redefine the new "normal".

With this in mind, it is with pleasure that we introduce our 2019 Sustainability Data Supplement. We are continuing to make great strides in integrating Corporate Responsibility into every aspect of our business.

In recent years, Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) factors have emerged to become a central theme in mainstream investment decision-making. Less than a decade ago, ESG or Sustainability Investing was a niche investment strategy that garnered little to no attention among trustees of mainstream institutional investors, who by-and-large believed that focusing on such issues undermined their ability to fulfill a fiduciary duty. Fast forward to today, and according to the Global Sustainable Investment Alliance, funds totaling approximately US\$30 trillion worldwide use some form of ESG methodology in their investment decision-making process.

At DPM, we welcome this trend among our current and potential investors. ESG has been an integral part of DPM's modus operandi since its inception in 2004. At DPM, we have used terms such as Corporate Social Responsibility, Corporate Responsibility and Sustainability to describe our non-financial ESG impacts, but the basic underlying premise remains the same: We succeed because we care. We have believed from the outset that our economic and financial viability is dependent on delivering prosperity to all our stakeholders and that our environmental and social commitments and performance should never be compromised.

The mining sector is not like many other industries. Given the nature and typical geographic location of mining operations, there is often an immediate and direct measurable impact of a company's environmental and social performance. If done right, the local socio-economic multiplier effects can have a tremendous positive impact on the prosperity

of a community and its environment. If not done correctly, business and operations are rapidly curtailed, either by local government permitting sanctions, or increasingly, by local community protest and activism. In these days of social media and rapid information dissemination, there is very little room for error.

The world and the industry is changing and evolving rapidly. For example, climate change and a transition to low carbon economies globally will impact the mining business and change the opportunity landscape for all mining companies going forward. Climate change will require us to understand and manage the impact of both the transitional factors, such high-level policy changes resulting from changes in society, and physical factors, such as extreme weather events, water and energy availability, and rising sea levels. There will also be opportunities arising from a shift to low carbon economies. According to the World Bank, the move to low carbon economies has huge potential to shift both the scale and composition of the demand for minerals and metals.

At the same time, both governments and the broader populace will likely demand more from the private sector with an expectation that business take a more active role in the socio-economic wellbeing of societies and contribute directly to the achievement of broader societal goals, such as the UN Sustainable Development Goals. In other words, companies will be required to define and act on their "social purpose".

Managing these rapidly changing industry dynamics requires a deep understanding of our specific role in the broader global supply chain, how the metals we supply ultimately contribute to human and institutional capacity development, entrepreneurship development and socio-economic development in general. More specifically, at the micro level, we believe there is room for DPM to work collaboratively and directly with our stakeholders in identifying opportunities (e.g. new technologies, new services) that will ultimately benefit society, increase demand for our products and increase the socio-economic multiplier effects mentioned above. The mining industry definitely has a role to play in the architecture of current and future societies, and we need to understand how DPM contributes specifically to that role.

DPM's business strategy is founded on acquiring under-capitalized assets and unlocking and delivering superior value through the deployment of capital, both human and financial, and technological innovation. Our early successes were focused on ensuring that our operations were

brought up to world-class standards. This has consumed a substantial proportion of our financial capital since 2004. We have also focused resources on ensuring that our workforce is fully represented by the local community, has the necessary training to ensure expertise in their respective tasks, and that the environment in which they work meets the highest international standards of health and safety. We also have a strong and resilient "license to operate", as a result of allocating appropriate resources in support the socio-economic development of our local communities and protecting the environment on which our communities base their livelihoods and the livelihoods of future generations. This work has garnered many awards from credible third parties over the years.

Corporate Responsibility is one of DPM's four "Strategic Imperatives" – together with Effective & Accountable Organization, Core Business Excellence and Creativity & Innovation (see 2018 Sustainability Report for more detailed description). In 2018, we strengthened our corporate-wide management systems, including the introduction of a new Corporate Responsibility Policy, which reinforced our commitments and responsibilities across three broad, but interrelated, pillars: Health and Safety; Environment; and Social. This policy was supplemented by new or updated corporate-wide Standards on Arsenic Management, Tailings Management and Human Rights, all of which were fully operationalized at each of our sites in 2019.

In 2019, we further refined our thinking with regard to material ESG topics that will likely impact our business. These topics include: Arsenic management; climate change and energy; eco-system services, biodiversity and environmental impact; mineral waste; human rights (in particular Free, Prior & Informed Consent); supply chain; and social impact. We have committed to developing a five-year plan that augments our existing approach to managing these material factors, both at the corporate and operational levels. The aim is to strengthen and broaden our performance driven management system and methodologies.

Rick Howes

President and Chief Executive Officer

**Nikolay Hristov** 

Vice President, Sustainability and External Relations



### Operational

	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
Ore processed (tonnes) Chelopech	2,203,242	2,216,753	2,218,717	2,212,340	2,052,138
Ore processed (tonnes) Ada Tepe <sup>1</sup>	470,545	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Ore mined (tonnes) Chelopech	2,211,067	2,211,557	2,232,799	2,211,814	2,039,921
Ore mined (tonnes) Ada Tepe	430,384	1 <i>57</i> ,835	n/a	n/a	n/a
Waste rock mined (tonnes) Chelopech	267,447	249,360	202,700	254,222	210,911
Concentrate Equivalent (tonnes) <sup>2</sup> Chelopech	140,294	139,502	135,031	136,489	146,202
Au-Cu Concentrate Produced (tonnes) Chelopech	105,741	104,087	100,994	107,108	113,466
Pyrite Concentrate Produced (tonnes) Chelopech	252,582	258,884	248,810	214,775	239,298
Concentrate smelted (tonnes) Tsumeb	219,904	232,043	219,252	210,655	196,107
Copper blister produced (tonnes) Tsumeb	45,953	48,970	45,523	40,869	45,221

<sup>1</sup> Formerly known as Krumovgrad

<sup>2</sup> Calculation of equivalence has been changed to align with the most recent information available, previous years have been restated.



#### Materials Used

	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
Lime (tonnes)	_				
Chelopech	8,360	8,710	6,034	6,732	7,001
Tsumeb	16,211	14,703	10,082	8,831	7,912
Cement (tonnes)					
Chelopech	33,024	39,617	38,834	45,648	35,876
Blasting agents (tonnes) Chelopech	1,013	1,123	1,140	1,159	1,012
	1,013	1,123	1,140	1,139	1,012
Blasting agents (tonnes) Ada Tepe	283,108	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Black oil/heavy fuel oil (kilograms)			<u> </u>		
Chelopech	915,225	1,006,310	967,509	943,820	1,082,060
Tsumeb	3,745,079	3,427,057	2,684,873	2,174,506	2,026,500
Light fuel oil (kilograms)					
Tsumeb	1,689,223	2,092,546	2,252,145	1,680,012	1,722,000
Diesel – mine, process plant, light vehicles (litres) Chelopech	2,471,344	2,426,414	2,551,666	2,635,796	2,550,919
Tsumeb	1,138,105	1,154,398	1,182,584	2,294,100	1,276,092
Coal/Charcoal (tonnes) (data have been merged)					
Tsumeb	4,013	3,520	8,550	9,487	8,268
Steel balls and rods (tonnes)					
Chelopech	2,762	2,510	2,568	2,764	2,505
Tsumeb	165	178	194	270	212
Oxygen consumed (tonnes)					
Tsumeb 1	97,122	95,209	81,243	135,258	72,197
Silica sand (tonnes)	15.050	10 7/5	3.4.0.45	/	
Tsumeb	15,353	13,715	14,345	not previously reported	not previously reported
Crushed silica (tonnes) Tsumeb	10,784	13,918	not previously reported	not previously reported	not previously reported
Hydrated lime (tonnes)	10,7 04	10,710	not previously reported	noi previousiy reported	nor previously reported
Tsumeb	3,015	4,094	2,691	not previously reported	not previously reported
	·			. , , ,	· / /

<sup>1</sup> In 2018 Tsumeb began reporting oxygen consumed, as this more accuratley depicts our process. All previous years are oxygen produced.



#### Direct Energy Use (Gigajoules)

	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
Black oil/heavy fuel oil Chelopech	36,609	40,252	38,700	37,753	43,282
Tsumeb	151,301	138,453	110,872	88,829	82,783
<b>Light fuel oil</b> Tsumeb	68,245	84,539	90,987	64,974	66,602
Diesel – mine, process plant and light vehicles (includes petrol/gasoline)  Chelopech	89,463	85,670	90,093	93,075	90,065
Tsumeb	40,130	41,300	43,209	83,514	36,024
Coal/Charcoal Tsumeb	107,145	93,985	231,237	295,331	242,772

#### Indirect Energy Use (Gigajoules)

	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
Electricity Chelopech 1	457,535	445,717	438,753	444,617	437,986
Tsumeb	582,742	634,764	578,571	573,617	502,654

#### Energy Use Intensity

	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
Indirect					
Chelopech – per tonne of Cu concentrate equivalent <sup>2</sup>	3.26	3.19	3.25	3.25	3.00
Tsumeb – per tonne of Cu blister produced	12.68	12.96	12.71	14.06	11.17
Direct					
Chelopech – per tonne of Cu concentrate equivalent <sup>2</sup>	0.90	0.90	0.95	0.96	0.91
Tsumeb – per tonne of Cu blister produced	7.98	7.32	10.46	13.17	9.72

<sup>1</sup> In 2019 an Optimization plan was finalized and gap analyses were conducted. The increase is due to an added consumer. Data for previous years has been restated.

<sup>2</sup> Changes to calculation of CU Equivalency resulted in restatements for previous years



#### Emissions

	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
Direct GHG emissions - Scope 1					
Chelopech (tonnes of CO2)	9,554	9,556	9,765	9,914	10,121
Tsumeb (tonnes of CO2e)	30,504	29,559	41,878	50,577	45,641
Indirect GHG emissions – Scope 2					
Chelopech (tonnes of CO2)	64,995	58,401	60,694	62,370	61,683
Tsumeb (tonnes of CO2e) 1	147,158	114,081	103,982	86,388	75,677
All other indirect GHG emissions – Scope 3					
Chelopech (tonnes of CO2)	55,169	61,064	56,876	63,889	55,202
Sulphur dioxide emissions (tonnes)					
Tsumeb (tonnes of CO2e) <sup>2</sup>	11,442	11,852	15,105	27,825	133,000 2
Isumeb (fonnes of CO2e) <sup>2</sup>	11,442	11,852	15,105	27,825	133,00

<sup>1</sup> The increase from 2018 to 2019 is a direct result of a change in emission factors

#### GHG Emissions Intensity

	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
Scope 1 & 2		/			
Chelopech					
per tonne of Cu concentrate equivalent 1	0.53	0.49	0.52	0.53	0.49
Tsumeb					
per tonne of Cu blister produced <sup>2</sup>	3.87	2.93	3.20	3.36	2.70
Scope 3 (Chelopech only)			/		
Chelopech			/		
per tonne of Cu concentrate equivalent 1	0.39	0.44	0.42	0.47	0.38

<sup>1</sup> Changes to calculation of CU Equivalence resulted in restatements for historical data

<sup>2</sup> Estimate based on 2014 emissions, minus tonnes of sulphur dioxide, converted to sulphuric acid.

<sup>2</sup> The increase from 2018 to 2019 is a direct result of a change in emission factors



#### Water Use

	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
Water withdrawn – groundwater (cubic metres)					
Chelopech	298,089	350,847	188,841	320,901	396,915
Tsumeb	1,078,530	921,410	1,499,568	880,228	1,223,586
Ада Тере	86,543	21,877	0	n/a	n/a
Water withdrawn – surface water: rivers, dams (cubic metres) Chelopech	895,720	783,971	756,846	<i>77</i> 8,015	930,579
Ада Тере	342,345	0	0	n/a	n/a
Rainwater collected directly and stored (cubic metres)  Chelopech 1	624,203	351,682	355,558	371,478	434,869
Ada Tepe	74,765	0	0	n/a	n/a
Total water withdrawn from municipal water supplies (cubic metres)  Chelopech	6,000	4,128	5,664	1,200	1,200
sumeb	145,497	127,205	132,887	218,873	206,160
Ada Tepe	0	2,579	0	n/a	n/a
Total water withdrawn from ANY source Chelopech	1,199,809	1,138,946	951,351	1,100,116	1,328,694
sumeb	1,224,027	1,048,615	1,632,455	1,099,101	1,429,746
Ada Tepe	428,888	24,456	0	n/a	n/a
Total volume of water recycled and reused (cubic metres) Chelopech	1,682,056	1,814,524	1,701,156	1,560,244	1,538,268
sumeb	546,097	580,372	651,771	579,363	368,627
Ada Tepe	400,104	0	0	n/a	n/a
Volume of water recycled/reused as a % of total water withdrawn <sup>2</sup> Chelopech	140%	159%	179%	142%	116%
- Sumeb	45%	55%	40%	53%	26%
Ада Тере	93%	n/a	0	n/a	n/a

<sup>1</sup> The rainwater calculation was amended in 2019, historical data will be recalculated and presented in our next report

<sup>2</sup> Total volume of water recycled and reused as a percentage of total volume of water withdrawn from any source.



#### Water Use Intensity

	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
Chelopech					
per tonne of Cu concentrate equivalent	8.55	8.20	7.08	8.09	9.12
Tsumeb					
per tonne of Cu blister produced	26.64	21.41	35.86	26.94	31.77



## ENVIRONMENTAL/OPERATIONAL

#### Water Discharge

2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
37,021	37 972 <sup>1</sup>	83,950	83,950	63,875
82,540	78,084	73,908	67,543	55,959
2,121	1,381	n/a	n/a	n/a
190,710	0	143,733	491,559	715,264
0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	37,021 82,540 2,121	37,021 37 972 <sup>1</sup> 82,540 78,084 2,121 1,381	37,021 37,972 83,950 82,540 78,084 73,908 2,121 1,381 n/a	37,021 37 972 1 83,950 83,950 82,540 78,084 73,908 67,543 2,121 1,381 n/a n/a

<sup>1</sup> In 2017, a meter was installed to measure discharged domestic water instead of the limit established in a permit.

<sup>2</sup> The domestic waste water is an estimate based on the number of people onsite which are provided by the H&S department. The estimation is conservative as individuals are not on site for 24/hours a day, every day of the month.

<sup>3</sup> Waste water discharged is from the administrative building in town, not from the mine site.



#### Waste Management

	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
Waste rock mined (tonnes)					
Chelopech	267,447	249,360	202,700	254,222	210,911
Ada Tepe	1,370,118	216,802	n/a	n/a	n/a
Percentage of waste rock returned underground as backfill					
Chelopech	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Mill tailings (tonnes)					
Chelopech	1,844,920	1,853,781	1,868,913	1,890,458	1,699,374
Tsumeb	139,269	147,779	143,353	152,247	71,302
Ada Tepe	467,830	0	n/a	n/a	n/a
Percentage of mill tailings returned underground as backfill					
Chelopech	40%	39%	38%	46%	38%
Mill tailings placed in surface tailings facilities (tonnes)					
Chelopech	1,115,692	1,123,850	1,163,277	1,023,595	1,049,443
Tsumeb	139,269	147,779	143,353	152,247	71,302
Ada Tepe	467,830	0	n/a	n/a	n/a
Hazardous waste sent off-site but not recycled (tonnes)					
Chelopech	13	7	0	10	6
Tsumeb	17	56	377	219	0
Ada Tepe	4	0	n/a	n/a	n/a
Hazardous waste treated and disposed of on-site (tonnes)					
Tsumeb	29,819	32,552	27,172	17,207	17,236
Hazardous waste recycled off-site (tonnes)					
Chelopech	111	91	125	90	113
Tsumeb	62	31	25	22	30
Ada Tepe	12	2	n/a	n/a	n/a
Non-hazardous waste sent off-site but not recycled (tonnes)					
Chelopech	292	245	261	257	196
Ada Tepe	191	102	n/a	n/a	n/a
Non-hazardous waste treated and disposed of on-site (tonnes)					
Chelopech	1,253	979	835	788	1,709
Tsumeb	431	362	359	437	286
Ada Tepe	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a
Non-hazardous waste recycled off-site (tonnes)					
Chelopech	1,477	1,738	1,210	1,539	1,659
Tsumeb	362	219	1,144	0	0
Ada Tepe	129	7	n/a	n/a	n/a



## ENVIRONMENTAL/OPERATIONAL

### Spills

	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
Number Total number of spills reportable to regulatory authorities					
Chelopech	1	2	4	0	4
Tsumeb	0	0	0	0	0
Ada Tepe	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a
Volume (cubic metres)  Total volume of spills reportable to regulatory authorities					
Chelopech	0	0	0	0	0
Tsumeb	0	0	0	0	0
Ada Tepe	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

## Environmental Fines and Non-Monetary Sanctions

	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
Value of fines for non-compliance with environmental laws and/or regulations					
Chelopech	\$7,245	\$O /	\$12,298	\$14,845	\$33,996
Tsumeb	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ada Tepe	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Number of non-monetary sanctions for non-compliance with environmental laws and/or regulations					
Chelopech	0	0	0	0	0
Tsumeb	0	O	0	0	0
Ada Tepe	0	0	0 /	0	0



#### Land Use/Biodiversity

	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
Total land area owned or leased and not yet rehabilitated at the start of the year (hectares)					
Chelopech <sup>1</sup>	145	425	381	366	366
Tsumeb	3,035	3,035	3,029	3,044	3,044
Ada Tepe	132	126	132	132	0
Total amount of land newly disturbed by mining within the reporting period (hectares)	40		2	0	0
Chelopech	49	0	0	0	0
Tsumeb	0	0	0	0	0
Ada Tepe	0	3	49	47	0
Total amount of land newly rehabilitated within the reporting period (hectares)					
Chelopech	0	0	0	0	]
Tsumeb	0	0	0	0	0
Ada Tepe	3	6	0	0	0
Total land owned and leased and not yet rehabilitated at the end of the year (hectares)	10.4	40.5	001	0//	0//
Chelopech <sup>1</sup>	194	425	381	366	366
Tsumeb	3,035	3,029	3,029	3,044	3,044
Ada Tepe	129	72	132	132	0
Total amount of land in or adjacent to protected areas and areas of high biodiversity value (hectares)					
Chelopech	0	0	0	0	0
Tsumeb	0	0	0	0	0
Ada Tepe	132	132	132	132	0
Sites requiring biodiversity/ biological management plans Chelopech	No	No	No	No	No
Tsumeb	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Ada Tepe	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

<sup>1</sup> Previous years represents the concession area which is not owned by DPM but is Bulgarian State forestry owned

### Employees<sup>1</sup>

		<b>EMPLOYEES</b>	2019		EMPLOYEES <sup>1</sup> 20	)18	2017	2016	2015
	Ma	le Female	Total	Male	Female	Total			
Number of Lost Time Injuries									
Chelopech	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	1	7
Tsumeb	5		6	2	0	2	3	15	4
Ada Tepe	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exploration <sup>2</sup>	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
Capital Projects <sup>3</sup>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	n/a	n/a
Corporate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lost time Injury Frequency Rate									
Chelopech	0	0	0	0.34	0	0.28	0.2	0.1	0.59
Tsumeb	0.6	7 0.92	0.70	0.23	0	0.23	0.19	0.88	0.27
Ada Tepe	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exploration	0	0	0	1.04	0	1.04	0	0	1.63
Capital Projects	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	n/a	n/a
Corporate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Restricted Work Injuries									
Chelopech <sup>4</sup>	n/o	a n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tsumeb	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	6	4
Ada Tepe <sup>4</sup>	n/o	a n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Exploration	0	0	0	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a
Capital Projects	n/o	a n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Corporate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restricted Work Injury Frequency Rate									
Chelopech <sup>4</sup>	n/o	a n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tsumeb	0.1	3 0	0.12	0	0	0	0.26	0.65	0.63
Ada Tepe <sup>4</sup>	n/o	a n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Exploration	0	0	0	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a
Capital Projects <sup>4</sup>	n/o	a n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Corporate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Medical Treatment Injuries									
Chelopech	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	4	5
Tsumeb	0	0	0	2	0	2	1	2	3
Ada Tepe	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	n/a
Exploration	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
Capital Projects	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	n/a	n/a
Corporate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

<sup>1</sup> Previous to 2018, this data included employees and contractors.

<sup>2</sup> Exploration activities occur in Bulgaria, Serbia, Canada and Armenia

<sup>3</sup> In 2019 Capital Project covered activities in Bulgaria only.

<sup>4</sup> In Bulgaria, Restricted Work Injuries are considered Lost Time Injuries and are, therefore, not calculated separately.

### Employees (cont'd)

		2019			2018		2017	2016	2015
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total			
Medical Treatment Injury Frequency Rate									
Chelopech	0.17	0.00	0.14	0	0	0	0.10	0.39	0.42
Tsumeb	0	0	0	0.23	0	0.23	0.06	0.12	0.2
Ada Tepe	0	0	0	0	Ο	0	0	0	n/a
Exploration	0	2.85	0.91	0	0	0	1	1.19	1.63
Capital Projects	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.26	n/a	n/a
Corporate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Fatalities									
Chelopech	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tsumeb	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ada Tepe	0	0	0	0	Ο	0	0	0	n/a
Exploration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Capital Projects	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	n/a	n/a
Corporate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Recordable Injury Frequency Rate 1 – employees only									
Chelopech	0.17	0	0.14	0.34	0	0.28			
Tsumeb	0.80	0.92	0.82	0.46	0	0.46			
Ada Tepe	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Exploration	0	2.85	0.91	1.04	0	1.04			
Capital Projects	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Corporate	0	0	0	0	0	0			

<sup>1</sup> Rates previous to 2018 were not calculated separately.

#### Contractors<sup>1</sup>

		2019			2018		2017	2016	2015
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total			
Number of Lost Time Injuries									
Chelopech	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	7
Tsumeb	4	0	4	0	0	0	3	15	4
Ada Tepe	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exploration	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Capital Projects	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	n/a	n/a
Corporate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lost Time Injury Frequency Rate									
Chelopech	0.44	0	0.34	0	0	0	0.20	0.10	0.59
Tsumeb	0.56	0	0.51	0	0	0	0.19	0.88	0.27
Ada Tepe	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exploration <sup>2</sup>	n/a	n/a	1.35	0	0	0	0	0	1.63
Capital Projects <sup>2</sup>	n/a	n/a	0.87	0	0	0	0	n/a	n/a
Corporate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Restricted Work Injuries									
Chelopech <sup>3</sup>	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tsumeb	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4
Ada Tepe <sup>3</sup>	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Exploration	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Capital Projects <sup>3</sup>	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Corporate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restricted Work Injury Frequency Rate									
Chelopech <sup>2</sup>	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tsumeb	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.26	0.65	0.63
Ada Tepe <sup>2</sup>	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Exploration	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Capital Projects <sup>2</sup>	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Corporate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Medical Treatment Injuries									
Chelopech	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	5
Tsumeb	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	2	3
Ada Tepe	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	n/a
Exploration	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
Capital Projects	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	n/a	n/a
Corporate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

<sup>1</sup> Previous to 2018, this data included employees and contractors.

<sup>2</sup> Contractor hours are not available split by male/female and therefore, where applicable, only the total frequency rates are reported

<sup>3</sup> In Bulgaria, Restricted Work Injuries are considered Lost Time Injuries and are, therefore, not calculated separately.

#### Contractors (cont'd)

		2019			2018		2017	2016	2015
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total			
Medical Treatment Injury Frequency Rate									
Chelopech	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	0.39	0.42
Tsumeb	0.14	0	0.13	0.14	0	0.14	0.06	0.12	0.2
Ada Tepe	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	n/a
Exploration	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1.19	1.63
Capital Projects	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.26	n/a	n/a
Corporate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Fatalities									
Chelopech	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tsumeb	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ada Tepe	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	n/a
Exploration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Capital Projects	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	n/a	n/a
Corporate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Recordable Injury Frequency Rate <sup>1</sup> – contractors only									
Chelopech	0.44	0	0.34	0	0	0			
Tsumeb	0.70	0	0.63	0.14	0	0.14			
Ada Tepe	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Exploration	n/a	n/a	1.35	0	0	0			
Capital Projects	n/a	n/a	0.87	0	0	0			
Corporate	0	0	0	0	0	0			

<sup>1</sup> Rates previous to 2018 were not calculated separately.



#### Other Employee Safety-related Information

		2019			2018		2017	2016	2015
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total			
Number of trained safety personnel									
Chelopech <sup>1</sup>	3	1	4	3	0	3	3	3	4
Tsumeb	2	3	5	3	3	6	7	7	8
Ada Tepe	2	0	2	2	0	2	1	n/a	n/a
Number of specialized rescue personnel									
Chelopech	12	0	12	12	0	12	12	12	14
Tsumeb	5	0	5	3	0	3	2	2	3
Ada Tepe	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	n/a	n/a
Number of on-site health care practitioners <sup>2</sup>									
Chelopech	1	2	3	0	1	1	1	1	1
Tsumeb	0	2	2	0	3	3	2	3	3
Ada Tepe	0	0	0	0	0	0	О	n/a	n/a
Number of trained voluntary rescue personnel (includes mine and	1.0	0	10	1.0	0	10	1.0	1.1	10
smelter personnel) Chelopech	12	0	12	12	0	12	12	11	12
Tsumeb	4	2	6	5	0	5	5	8	12
Ada Tepe	30	0	30	23	0	23	0	n/a	n/a
Percentage of all workers (including employees and contractors) that are represented by formal joint managementworker health and safety committees.									
Chelopech	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Tsumeb	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Ada Tepe	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Captial Projects	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Corporate	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

<sup>1</sup> Includes Safety Manager as a shared service in Bulgaria.

<sup>2</sup> Includes doctors and nurses.



## Information on Employees

		2019			2018	
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Number of Permanent Employees by Region and Gender Chelopech	720	157	877	<i>7</i> 31	163	894
Tsumeb	623	100	723	601	98	699
Ada Tepe	201	40	241	174	26	200
Exploration	39	24	63	35	23	58
Corporate Other	20	6	26	11	4	15
Corporate	20	18	38	23	19	42
Number of Temporary Employees by Region and Gender Chelopech	13	18	31	11	12	23
Tsumeb	53	14	67	55	4	59
Ada Tepe	0	2	2	42	15	57
Exploration	48	17	65	38	17	55
Corporate Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corporate	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Number of Employees by Region and Gender Chelopech	733	175	908	742	171	913
Tsumeb	676	114	790	656	102	758
Ada Tepe	201	42	243	216	41	257
Exploration	87	41	128	73	40	113
Corporate Other	20	6	26	11	4	15
Corporate	20	18	38	23	19	42
Total Number of Employees	1737	396	2133	1721	368	2089



## Number of Employees by Employment Type by Region and Gender

		2019			2018	
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Full-Time Employees Chelopech	733	168	901	742	171	913
Tsumeb	676	114	790	656	102	758
Ada Tepe	201	38	239	216	37	253
Exploration	58	33	91	73	35	108
Corporate Other	20	6	26	11	4	15
Corporate	20	18	38	23	19	42
Total	1690	373	2063	1721	368	2089
Part-Time Employees Chelopech	0	7	7	0	4	4
Tsumeb	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ada Tepe	0	4	4	0	0	0
Exploration	29	8	37	1	4	5
Corporate Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corporate	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	29	19	48	1	8	9



### Number of Employees by Employment Contract by Region and Gender

		2019			2018	
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Indefinite/Permanent						
Chelopech	720	157	877	731	163	894
Tsumeb	623	100	723	601	98	699
Ada Tepe	201	40	241	174	26	200
Exploration	39	24	63	33	22	55
Corporate Other	20	6	26	11	4	15
Corporate	18	17	35	20	18	38
Total	1603	340	1943	1570	331	1901
Fixed Term/Temporary						
Chelopech	13	18	31	11	12	23
Tsumeb	53	14	67	55	4	59
Ada Tepe	0	2	2	42	15	57
Exploration	48	17	65	41	17	58
Corporate Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corporate	2	1	3	3	1	4
Total	117	52	169	152	49	201

#### Contractors

	2019				2018		
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	
Chelopech	505	94	599	348	70	418	
Tsumeb	744	74	818	657	73	730	
Ada Tepe	233	11	244	450	50	500	
Exploration	210	0	210	94	3	97	
Corporate Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Corporate	0	1	1	0	0	0	
Total	1692	180	1872	1549	196	1745	



#### Information on Management and Staff

		2019			2018	
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Percentage of senior <sup>1</sup> management (full-time) hired from the local community <sup>4</sup>						
Chelopech	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Tsumeb	57%	29%	86%	100%	67%	88%
Ada Tepe	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Exploration	0%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%
Corporate Other	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Corporate	78%	67%	73%	56%	28%	84%
Percentage of middle <sup>2</sup> management (full-time) hired from the local community						
Chelopech	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Tsumeb	69%	23%	92%	92%	100%	94%
Ada Tepe	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Exploration	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Corporate Other	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Corporate	100%	100%	100%	71%	29%	100%
Percentage of operational/support staff <sup>3</sup> (full-time) hired from the local community						
Chelopech	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Tsumeb	88%	11%	99%	100%	100%	100%
Ada Tepe	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Exploration	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Corporate Other	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Corporate	100%	100%	100%	35%	65%	100%

<sup>1</sup> Senior Management – Directors and above.

<sup>2</sup> Middle Management – Managers, Superintendents, Supervisors, Shift Bosses.

<sup>3</sup> Operational/Support Staff – all other employees.

<sup>4</sup> Local community – the country of the operation.



#### Information on Management and Staff (cont'd)

		2019			2018	
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Percentage of expatriate employees						
Chelopech	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Tsumeb	2%	4%	3%	0%	0%	0%
Ada Tepe	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	6%
Exploration	3%	0%	2%	3%	0%	2%
Corporate Other	15%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%
Corporate	0%	6%	3%	0%	0%	0%
Total	1%	1%	1%			

## Other Information

	2019				2018	
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Percentage of employees who are members of a trade union						
Chelopech	62%	39%	58%	64%	42%	60%
Tsumeb	65%	34%	61%	70%	40%	66%
Ada Tepe	91%	67%	87%	72%	41%	67%
Exploration	50%	44%	49%	45%	22%	39%
Corporate Other	5%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%
Corporate	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of full-time employees covered by collective bargaining						
agreements						
Chelopech	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Tsumeb	79%	55%	76%	79%	58%	77%
Ada Tepe	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Exploration	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Corporate Other	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Corporate	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Mean annual wage in country						
Bulgaria (BGN)	14,952	14,952	14,952	13,368	13,368	13,368
Namibia (NAD)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Exploration (BGN)	14,952	14,952	14,952	13,368	13,368	13,368
Corporate Other (BGN)	14,952	14,952	14,952	13,368	13,368	13,368
Corporate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a



### Other Information (cont'd)

		2019			2018	
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Mean annual wage of company employees (excluding managers and above, direct contract and expatriate employees) in local currency						
Bulgaria (BGN)	32,608	29,138	32,020	30,104	28,448	29,834
Namibia (NAD)	395,093	315,096	387,006	433,567	362,263	426,314
Exploration (BGN)	28,548	39,684	30,840	29,208	33,450	30,057
Corporate Other (BGN)	50,952	44,544	49,728	45,516	46,044	45,622
Corporate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Number of strikes and lock-outs during year exceeding one week's duration						
Chelopech	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tsumeb	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ada Tepe	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exploration	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corporate Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corporate	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total number of incidents of discrimination</b> Chelopech	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tsumeb	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ada Tepe	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exploration	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corporate Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corporate	0	0	0	0	0	0



## Number of Employees by Gender and Employee Category

		2019			2018	
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Employee Level Senior Management						
Chelopech	1	4	5	2	4	6
Tsumeb	5	3	8	5	3	8
Ada Tepe	1	4	5	1	4	5
Exploration	1	1	2	4	2	6
Corporate Other	0	1	1	0	2	2
Corporate	9	6	15	12	6	18
Total	17	19	36	24	21	45
Middle Management Chelopech	105	19	124	98	20	118
Tsumeb	136	44	180	130	40	170
Ada Tepe	21	1	22	50	2	52
Exploration	9	10	19	5	6	11
Corporate Other	10	3	13	6	1	7
Corporate	7	2	9	5	2	7
Total	288	79	367	294	71	365



### Number of Employees by Gender and Employee Category (cont'd)

		2019			2018	
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Employee Level (cont'd)						
Operational/Support Staff						
Chelopech	627	152	779	642	151	793
Tsumeb	536	67	603	521	59	580
Ada Tepe	179	37	216	165	35	200
Exploration	77	30	107	64	32	96
Corporate Other	10	2	12	5	1	6
Corporate	4	10	14	6	11	17
Total	1433	298	1731	1,403	289	1,692
Employee Function				,		·
Administrative						
Chelopech	13	51	64	18	54	72
Tsumeb	106	55	161	108	58	166
Ada Tepe	3	6	9	5	6	11
Corporate Other	20	6	26	]]	4	15
Corporate	10	9	19	15	11	26
Total	152	127	279	157	133	290
Exploration						
Exploration	87	41	128	73	40	113
Finance						
Chelopech	22	24	46	23	20	43
Tsumeb	43	23	66	58	25	83
Ada Tepe	6	3	9	3	2	5
Corporate Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corporate	9	8	17	7	6	13
Total	80	58	138	91	53	144
Health, Safety and Environment						
Chelopech	19	18	37	18	17	35
Tsumeb	26	16	42	28	16	44
Ada Tepe	5	3	8	5	2	7
Corporate Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corporate	1	1	2	1	2	3
Total	51	38	89	52	37	89
Maintenance						
Chelopech	264	10	274	270	10	280
Tsumeb	203	19	222	188	3	191
Ada Tepe	58	3	61	58	3	61
Corporate Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corporate	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	525	32	557	516	16	532



### Number of Employees by Gender and Employee Category (cont'd)

	2019				2018	
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Employee Function (cont'd) Operations						
Chelopech	409	64	473	406	66	472
Tsumeb	298	1	299	274	0	274
Krumovgrad	129	27	156	102	13	115
Corporate Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corporate	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	836	92	928	782	79	861
Projects (Bulgaria)						
Chelopech	6	8	14	7	8	15
Tsumeb	0	0	0	0	0	0
Krumovgrad	0	0	0	43	15	58
Corporate Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corporate	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	6	8	14	50	23	73



## Average hours of training per year per employee

	20	19	2018	}
	Male	Female	Male	Female
Employee Level				
Senior Management				
Chelopech	18	5	60	15
Tsumeb	48	43	48	63
Ada Tepe	18	14	12	3
Exploration	0	0	0	0
Corporate Other	0	0	0	66
Corporate	6	4	8	14
Middle Management				
Chelopech	37	43	47	45
Tsumeb	76	71	54	64
Ada Tepe	48	57	74	3
Exploration	32	74	40	29
Corporate Other	26	11	39	16
Corporate	2	2	15	8
Operational/Support Staff				
Chelopech	36	20	31	13
Tsumeb	51	50	29	21
Ada Tepe	43	51	144	46
Exploration	26	/14	49	69
Corporate Other	32	24	59	126
Corporate	1	2	7	8
Employee Function				
Administrative				
Chelopech	11	12	/16	6
Tsumeb	79	64	/ 83	54
Ada Tepe	16	18	/ 18	4
Corporate Other	29	24	48	52
Corporate	5	2	9	10
Exploration	26	47		
Exploration	20	4/		
	20	19	2018	
	Male	Female	Male	Female



## Average hours of training per year per employee

Finance				
Chelopech	26	18	97	18
Tsumeb	57	74	59	38
Ada Tepe	40	7	69	37
Corporate Other	0	0	0	0
Corporate	3	3	10	11
Health, Safety and Environment				
Chelopech	72	15	97	18
Tsumeb	115	70	144	57
Ada Tepe	32	20	69	37
Corporate Other	0	0	0	0
Corporate	6	8	8	8
Maintenance				
Chelopech	29	8	27	20
Tsumeb	48	107	45	41
Ada Tepe	37	36	244	114
Corporate Other	0	0	0	0
Corporate	0	0	0	0
Operations				
Chelopech	41	42	36	29
Tsumeb	51	60	50	24
Ada Tepe	48	66	123	91
Corporate Other	0	0	0	0
Corporate	0	0	0	0
Projects (Bulgaria)				
Chelopech	2	2	2	23
Tsumeb	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Ada Tepe	0	0	5	2
Corporate Other	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Corporate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a



## Percentage of Employees by Gender and Employee Category who Receive Regular Performance and Career Development Reviews

		2019			2018	
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Employee Level						
Senior Management						
Chelopech	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Tsumeb	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Ada Tepe	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Exploration	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Corporate Other	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Corporate	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Middle Management						
Chelopech	55%	79%	59%	52%	75%	56%
<u>Tsumeb</u>	95%	91%	94%	37%	25%	34%
Ada Tepe	71%	100%	73%	50%	100%	52%
Exploration	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%	91%
Corporate Other	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Corporate	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Operational/Support Staff						
Chelopech	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<u>Tsumeb</u>	0%	0%	0%	16%	51%	19%
Ada Tepe	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Exploration	66%	87%	72%	58%	81%	66%
Corporate Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Corporate	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Employee Function						
Administrative	1.50/	1.40/	1.40/	1.70/	1.50/	1.50/
Chelopech	15%	14%	14%	17%	15%	15%
Tsumeb	42%	29%	37%	16%	16%	16%
Ada Tepe	67%	33%	44%	40%	33%	36%
Corporate Other	50%	67%	54%	55%	75%	60%
Corporate	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Exploration	70%	90%	77%	63%	83%	70%
Exploration						
Finance	27%	1 00/	000/	22%	10%	1 4 9/
Chelopech Tsumeb	21%	13% 52%	20% 32%	16%	48%	16% 25%
Ada Tepe	17%	33%	22%	33%	46 <i>%</i> 50%	40%
Corporate Other	0%	 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Corporate Orner Corporate	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Health, Safety and Environment	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Chelopech	16%	17%	16%	11%	18%	14%
Tsumeb	50%	63%	55%	46%	63%	52%
Ada Tepe	40%	33%	38%	40%	0%	29%
Corporate Other	0%	 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Corporate Officer	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Corporale	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%



## Percentage of Employees by Gender and Employee Category who Receive Regular Performance and Career Development Reviews (cont'd)

		2019			2018	
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Employee Function (cont'd)						
Maintenance						
Chelopech	6%	10%	6%	6%	10%	6%
Tsumeb	16%	11%	16%	18%	67%	18%
Ada Tepe	5%	0%	5%	5%	0%	5%
Corporate Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Corporate	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Operations						
Chelopech	7%	6%	7%	7%	6%	7%
Tsumeb	10%	0%	10%	16%	0%	18%
Ada Tepe	5%	4%	5%	6%	8%	6%
Corporate Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Corporate	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Projects						
Chelopech (Bulgaria)	83%	13%	43%	29%	13%	20%
Tsumeb	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Ada Tepe	0%	0%	0%	28%	7%	22%
Corporate Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Corporate	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

#### Employee Analysis by Age

		2019			2018	
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Number of new employees 30 years old and under						
Chelopech	24	3	27	16	7	23
Tsumeb	46	16	62	45	11	56
Ada Tepe	11	6	17	52	8	60
Exploration	7	1	8	8	4	12
Corporate Other	5	1	6	]	0	1
Corporate	]	0	1	]	1	2
Total	94	27	121	123	31	154
Number of new employees between 31 and 49 years old						
Chelopech	41	6	47	31	5	36
Tsumeb	25	4	29	26	4	30
Ada Tepe	22	9	31	113	17	130
Exploration	5	1	6	11	3	14
Corporate Other	4	2	6	3	Ō	3
Corporate	1	1	2	4	1	5
Total	98	23	121	188	30	218



## New Employee Hires by Age Group, Gender and Region (with less than one year of service) (cont'd)

		2019			2018	
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Number of new employees 50 years old and above						
Chelopech	2	1	3	2	2	4
Tsumeb	4	1	5	4	1	5
Ada Tepe	2	2	4	26	0	26
Exploration	1	0	1	1	0	1
Corporate Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corporate	0	0	0	1	0	1
Total	9	4	13	34	3	37
Rate of New Employee Hires						
Rate of new employees 30 years old and under						
Chelopech	3%	2%	3%	2%	4%	3%
Tsumeb	7%	14%	8%	7%	11%	7%
Ada Tepe	5%	14%	7%	24%	20%	23%
Exploration	8%	2%	6%	11%	10%	11%
Corporate Other	25%	17%	23%	9%	0%	7%
Corporate	5%	0%	3%	4%	5%	5%
Total	5%	7%	6%	7%	8%	7%
Rate of new employees between 31 and 49						
Chelopech	6%	3%	5%	4%	3%	4%
Tsumeb	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%
Ada Tepe	11%	21%	13%	52%	41%	51%
Exploration	6%	2%	5%	15%	8%	12%
Corporate Other	20%	33%	23%	27%	0%	20%
Corporate	5%	6%	5%	17%	5%	12%
Total	6%	6%	6%	11%	8%	10%
Rate of new employees 50 years old and above						
Chelopech	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Tsumeb	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Ada Tepe	1%	5%	2%	12%	0%	10%
Exploration	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%
Corporate Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Corporate	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	2%
Total	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%



## Employee Turnover Analysis

	2019			2018		
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Number of Employees						
Chelopech	733	175	908	742	175	917
# Employees who left voluntarily	21	4	25			
# Employees who left involuntarily	65	11	76			
Total Outgoing Employees	86	15	101	60	15	75
Total Turnover Rate	12%	9%	11%	8%	9%	8%
Number of Employees <30	120	18	138	123	16	139
Number of Outgoing Employees <30	9	3	12	9	0	9
Turnover Rate for <30	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%
Number of Employees 31–49	530	98	628	531	97	628
Number of Outgoing Employees 31–49	54	3	57	44	6	50
Turnover Rate for 31–49	7%	2%	6%	6%	3%	5%
Number of Employees >50	83	59	142	89	62	151
Number of Outgoing Employees >50	23	9	32	7	9	16
Turnover Rate for >50	3%	5%	4%	1%	5%	2%
Percentage of Employees <30	16%	10%	15%	17%	9%	15%
Percentage of Employees 30-50	72%	56%	69%	72%	55%	68%
Percentage of Employees >50	11%	34%	16%	12%	35%	16%
Number of Employees						
Tsumeb	676	114	790	656	102	758
# Employees who left voluntarily	20	8	28			
# Employees who left involuntarily	66	12	78			
Total Outgoing Employees	86	20	106	105	17	122
Total Turnover Rate	13%	18%	13%	16%	17%	16%
Number of Employees <30	160	39	199	121	26	147
Number of Outgoing Employees <30	25	11	36	58	13	71
Turnover Rate for <30	4%	10%	5%	9%	13%	9%
Number of Employees 31–49	378	66	444	399	67	466
Number of Outgoing Employees 31–49	27	5	32	27	1	28
Turnover Rate for 31–49	4%	4%	4%	4%	1%	4%
Number of Employees >50	138	9	147	136	9	145
Number of Outgoing Employees >50	34	4	38	20	3	23
Turnover Rate for >50	5%	4%	5%	3%	3%	3%
Percentage of Employees <30	24%	34%	25%	18%	25%	19%
Percentage of Employees 31-49	56%	58%	56%	61%	66%	61%
Percentage of Employees >50	20%	8%	19%	21%	9%	19%



## Employee Turnover Analysis (cont'd)

Ado Teppe		2019			2018		
Ado Teppe		Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
# Employees who left involuntarity # Employees \$44	Number of Employees						
# Employees who left involunantly # Employees SO 10tal Cutroping Employees 44 17 01 48 2 5 50 10tal Cutroping Employees 44 17 01 48 2 2 50 10tal Cutroping Employees 45 13 58 51 11 62 11 02 2 11 02 23 11 03 58 51 11 62 11 02 2 11 02 23 11 03 58 51 11 62 11 02 2 11 02 23 11 03 58 51 11 62 11 02 2 11 02 23 11 03 58 51 11 62 11 02 2 11 02 23 11 03 58 51 11 62 11 02 2 11 03 58 51 11 62 11 02 2 11 03 58 51 11 62 11 02 2 11 03 58 51 11 62 11 02 2 11 03 58 51 11 62 11 02 2 11 03 58 51 11 62 11 02 2 11 03 58 51 51 11 62 11 02 2 11 03 58 51 51 51 62 11 02 2 11 03 58 51 51 51 62 11 02 2 11 03 58 51 51 51 62 11 02 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Ada Tepe	201	42	243	216	41	257
Total Outgoing Employees		3					
Todal Tumover Rate  22% 40% 25% 22% 5% 19% Number of Employees <30 45 13 58 51 11 62 Number of Outgoing Employees <30 5 3 8 21 2 2 23 Tumover Rate for <30 2% 7% 3% 10% 5% 9% Number of Outgoing Employees 31-49 1300 23 153 131 22 153 Number of Outgoing Employees 31-49 190 39 20 0 0 20 Tumover Rate for <31 4-9 10 39 20 0 0 20 Tumover Rate for <31 4-9 14% 24% 16% 9% 0% 8% Number of Employees >50 26 6 3 32 35 8 43 Number of Employees >50 10 4 14% 17 0 7 Tumover Rate for 15 50 5% Number of Employees >50 10 4 14 7 0 7 Tumover Rate for 15 50 5% Number of Employees >50 10 4 14% 17 0 7 Tumover Rate for 15 50 5% Number of Employees >50 13% 14% 14% 15% 24% 24% 27% 24% Percentage of Employees >50 13% 14% 13% 16% 20% 17% Number of Employees >50 13% 14% 14% 13% 16% 20% 17% Number of Employees >50 13% 14% 14% 13% 16% 20% 17% Number of Employees >50 13% 14% 14% 13% 16% 20% 17% Number of Employees >50 5 11 6 14 8 1 9 Number of Employees >50 5 11 6 14 8 1 9 Number of Employees >50 5 1 6 14 8 1 9 Number of Employees >50 5 1 6 14 8 1 9 Number of Employees >50 5 1 6 14 8 1 9 Number of Employees >50 5 1 6 14 8 1 9 Number of Employees >50 5 1 6 14 8 1 9 Number of Employees >50 5 1 6 14 8 1 9 Number of Employees >50 5 1 6 14 8 1 9 Number of Employees >50 5 1 6 14 0 0 14 Number of Employees >50 5 1 6 14 0 0 14 Number of Employees >50 5 1 7 6 14 0 0 14 Number of Employees >50 5 1 7 7 15 8 7 7 15 Number of Employees >50 7 7 15 8 7 15 8 7 7 15 Number of Outgoing Employees >50 8 7 15 8 7 15 Number of Outgoing Employees >50 8 7 15 8 7 15 Number of Outgoing Employees >50 8 7 15 8 7 15 Number of Outgoing Employees >50 8 7 15 8 7 15 Number of Outgoing Employees >50 8 7 15 8 7 15 Number of Outgoing Employees >50 8 7 15 8 7 15 Number of Outgoing Employees >50 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 Number of Outgoing Employees >50 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 Number of Employees >50 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 Number of Outgoing Employees >50 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 Number of Outgoing Employees >50 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 Number of Outgoing Employees >50 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 Number of Outgoing Em		41					
Number of Employees < S0	Total Outgoing Employees		17				
Number of Cutgoing Employees <30	Total Turnover Rate					5%	
Turnover Rate for <30	Number of Employees <30	45	13	58	51	11	62
Number of Employees 31–49 130 23 153 131 22 153 Number of Outgoing Employees 31–49 29 10 39 20 0 20 Turnover Rate for 31–49 14% 24% 16% 9% 0% 8% Number of Employees >50 10 4 14% 7 0 7 10 7 10 10 4 144 7 0 7 10 7 10 10 10 4 144 7 0 7 10 7 1	Number of Outgoing Employees <30				21	2	
Number of Outgoing Employees 31-49  14% 24% 16% 24% 16% 9% 0% 8% Number of Employees >50 26 6 32 35 8 43 Number of Dutgoing Employees >50 10 4 144 7 0 7 10 7 Turnover Rate for ≥50 5% 10% 8% Number of Employees >50 10 4 144 7 0 7 10 7 Turnover Rate for ≥50 5% 10% 10% 6% 3% 0% 3% 0% 3% Percentage of Employees >50 10% 5% 10% 10% 6% 3% 0% 3% 0% 3% Percentage of Employees >50 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10		2%				5%	9%
Turnover Rate for 31-49         14%         24%         16%         9%         0%         8%           Number of Employees > 50         26         6         32         35         8         43           Number of Outgoing Employees > 50         10         4         14         7         0         7           Turnover Rate for > 50         5%         10%         6%         3%         0%         3%           Percentage of Employees > 30         22%         31%         24%         24%         27%         24%           Percentage of Employees > 31-49         65%         55%         63%         61%         54%         60%           Percentage of Employees > 50         13%         14%         13%         16%         20%         17%           Number of Employees > 50         13%         4         13%         16%         20%         17%           Number of Employees > 50         13%         4         13%         16%         20%         17%           Number of Employees Sevole left involuntarily         7         2         9         9         1         113         12         2         14         8         1         1         9         1         101	Number of Employees 31–49	130	23		131	22	153
Number of Employees >50	Number of Outgoing Employees 31–49						
Number of Outgoing Employees >50  10  4  14  7  0  7  10 7  10 7  10 10 8  10 9  10 11 8  10 9  10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 11 11 11 11 1	Turnover Rate for 31–49	14%	24%	16%	9%	0%	8%
Turnover Rate for > 50         5%         10%         6%         3%         0%         3%           Percentage of Employees < 30	Number of Employees >50	26	6	32	35	8	43
Percentage of Employees <30	Number of Outgoing Employees >50	10	4	14	7	0	7
Percentage of Employees 31-49	Turnover Rate for >50	5%	10%	6%	3%	0%	3%
Percentage of Employees >50	Percentage of Employees <30	22%	31%	24%	24%	27%	24%
Number of Employees           Exploration         87         41         128         73         40         113           # Employees who left voluntarily         7         2         9           # Employees who left involuntarily         5         0         5           Total Outgoing Employees         12         2         14         8         1         9           Total Turnover Rate         14%         5%         11%         11%         3%         8%           Number of Employees <30         20         4         24         15         4         19           Number of Outgoing Employees <30         5         1         6         14         0         14           Number of Employees 31-49         6%         2%         5%         19%         0%         12%           Number of Outgoing Employees 31-49         6         1         7         4         0         4           Number of Employees >50         8         7         15         8         7         15           Number of Employees >50         8         7         15         8         7         15           Number of Employees >50         8         7         15	Percentage of Employees 31–49	65%	55%		61%	54%	60%
Exploration         87         41         128         73         40         113           # Employees who left voluntarily         7         2         9           # Employees who left involuntarily         5         0         5           Total Outgoing Employees         12         2         14         8         1         9           Total Turnover Rate         14%         5%         11%         11%         3%         8%           Number of Employees <30         20         4         24         15         4         19           Number of Outgoing Employees <30         5         1         6         14         0         14           Turnover Rate for <30         6%         2%         5%         19%         0%         12%           Number of Employees 31 - 49         59         30         89         50         29         79           Number of Outgoing Employees 31 - 49         6         1         7         4         0         4           Turnover Rate for 31 - 49         7         2%         5%         5%         0%         4%           Number of Outgoing Employees >50         8         7         15         8         7         15	Percentage of Employees >50	13%	14%	13%	16%	20%	17%
# Employees who left voluntarily 7 2 9 9 # Employees who left involuntarily 5 0 5 0 5 Total Outgoing Employees 12 2 14 8 1 9 Total Turnover Rate 14 5% 11% 11% 3% 8% Number of Employees <30 20 4 24 15 4 19 Number of Outgoing Employees <30 5 1 6 14 0 14 0 14 Turnover Rate for <30 6% 2% 5% 19% 0% 12% Number of Employees 31-49 59 30 89 50 29 79 Number of Outgoing Employees 31-49 6 1 7 4 0 0 4 Turnover Rate for 31-49 7% 2% 5% 5% 9% 0% 4% Number of Employees 31-49 6 1 0 0 4 Turnover Rate for S1-49 7% 2% 5% 5% 7% 0% 4% Number of Outgoing Employees 31-49 6 1 0 0 0 0 Turnover Rate for S1-49 7% 2% 5% 5% 0% 0% 0% Number of Employees S50 8 7 15 8 7 15 Number of Outgoing Employees >50 1 0 0 0 0 0 Turnover Rate for >50 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 Turnover Rate for >50 18 0% 1% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% Percentage of Employees <30 19% 19% 21% 10% 17%	Number of Employees						
# Employees who left involuntarily  5 0 5 Total Outgoing Employees  112 2 14 8 1 9 Total Turnover Rate  14% 5% 11% 11% 3% 8%  Number of Employees <30 20 4 24 15 4 19 Number of Outgoing Employees <30 5 1 6 14 0 14  Turnover Rate for <30 6% 2% 5% 19% 0% 12%  Number of Outgoing Employees 31-49 59 30 89 50 29 79 Number of Outgoing Employees 31-49 6 1 7 4 0 4  Turnover Rate for 31-49 7% 2% 5% 5% 5% 0% 44  Number of Outgoing Employees 31-49 7 15 8 7 15  Number of Outgoing Employees 31-49 7% 2% 5% 5% 5% 0% 44  Number of Outgoing Employees 31-49 7% 15 8 7 15  Number of Employees >50 8 7 15 8 7 15  Number of Employees >50 1 0 1 0 0 0 0  Turnover Rate for >50 1 0 1 0 0 0 0  Turnover Rate for >50 1 0 1 0 0 0 0  Turnover Rate for >50 1 1 0 19% 21% 10% 17%	Exploration				73	40	113
Total Outgoing Employees   12   2   14   8   1   9							
Total Turnover Rate         14%         5%         11%         11%         3%         8%           Number of Employees <30							
Number of Employees <30         20         4         24         15         4         19           Number of Outgoing Employees <30						1	
Number of Outgoing Employees <30         5         1         6         14         0         14           Turnover Rate for <30			5%		11%	3%	
Turnover Rate for <30         6%         2%         5%         19%         0%         12%           Number of Employees 31-49         59         30         89         50         29         79           Number of Outgoing Employees 31-49         6         1         7         4         0         4           Turnover Rate for 31-49         7%         2%         5%         5%         0%         4%           Number of Employees >50         8         7         15         8         7         15           Number of Outgoing Employees >50         1         0         1         0         0         0           Turnover Rate for >50         1%         0%         1%         0%         0%         0%           Percentage of Employees <30			4	24		4	
Number of Employees 31–49         59         30         89         50         29         79           Number of Outgoing Employees 31–49         6         1         7         4         0         4           Turnover Rate for 31–49         7%         2%         5%         5%         0%         4%           Number of Employees >50         8         7         15         8         7         15           Number of Outgoing Employees >50         1         0         1         0         0         0           Turnover Rate for >50         1%         0%         1%         0%         0%         0%           Percentage of Employees <30						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	* *
Number of Outgoing Employees 31–49       6       1       7       4       0       4         Turnover Rate for 31–49       7%       2%       5%       5%       0%       4%         Number of Employees >50       8       7       15       8       7       15         Number of Outgoing Employees >50       1       0       1       0       0       0         Turnover Rate for >50       1%       0%       1%       0%       0%       0%         Percentage of Employees <30	Turnover Rate for <30						
Turnover Rate for 31–49         7%         2%         5%         5%         0%         4%           Number of Employees >50         8         7         15         8         7         15           Number of Outgoing Employees >50         1         0         1         0         0         0         0           Turnover Rate for >50         1%         0%         1%         0%         0%         0%           Percentage of Employees <30		59	30		50		79
Number of Employees >50         8         7         15         8         7         15           Number of Outgoing Employees >50         1         0         1         0         0         0         0           Turnover Rate for >50         1%         0%         1%         0%         0%         0%           Percentage of Employees <30	Number of Outgoing Employees 31–49	6	1	7	4	0	4
Number of Outgoing Employees > 50         1         0         1         0         0         0           Turnover Rate for > 50         1%         0%         1%         0%         0%         0%           Percentage of Employees < 30	Turnover Rate for 31–49	7%	2%		5%	0%	4%
Turnover Rate for >50         1%         0%         1%         0%         0%         0%         0%           Percentage of Employees <30	Number of Employees >50	8	7	15	8	7	15
Percentage of Employees <30 23% 10% 19% 21% 10% 17%	Number of Outgoing Employees >50		<del>-</del>				<del>-</del>
	Turnover Rate for >50	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Employees 3 1–49 68% 7.3% 7.0% 68% 7.3% 7.0%	Percentage of Employees <30	23%	10%	19%	21%	10%	17%
0.00 / 0/0 /	Percentage of Employees 31–49	68%	73%	70%	68%	73%	70%
Percentage of Employees >50 9% 17% 12% 11% 18% 13%	Percentage of Employees >50	9%	17%	12%	11%	18%	13%



## Employee Turnover Analysis (cont'd)

		2019			2018		
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	
Number of Employees							
Corporate Other	20	6	26	11	4	15	
# Employees who left voluntarily			0				
# Employees who left involuntarily			0%				
Total Outgoing Employees	Ο	0	0	1	0	1	
Total Turnover Rate	0%	0%	0%	9%	0%	7%	
Number of Employees <30	Ο	0	0	3	0	3	
Number of Outgoing Employees <30	Ο	0	0	0	0	0	
Turnover Rate for <30	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Number of Employees 31–49	Ο	0	0	8	4	12	
Number of Outgoing Employees 31–49	O	0	0	1	0	1	
Turnover Rate for 31–49	0%	0%	0%	9%	0%	7%	
Number of Employees >50	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of Outgoing Employees >50	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Turnover Rate for >50	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Employees <30	0%	0%	0%	27%	0%	20%	
Percentage of Employees 31–49	0%	0%	0%	73%	100%	80%	
Percentage of Employees >50	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Corporate							
Number of Employees	20	18	38	23	19	42	
# Employees who left voluntarily	3	1	4				
# Employees who left involuntarily	2	1	3				
Total Outgoing Employees	5	2	7	3	3	6	
Total Turnover Rate	25%	11%	18%	13%	16%	14%	
Number of Employees <30	2	1	3	2	1	3	
Number of Outgoing Employees <30	1	0	1	0	0	0	
Turnover Rate for <30	5%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	
Number of Employees 31–49	9	10	19	10	9	19	
Number of Outgoing Employees 31–49	2	1	3	1	2	3	
Turnover Rate for 31–49	10%	6%	8%	4%	11%	7%	
Number of Employees >50	9	8	17	11	9	20	
Number of Outgoing Employees >50	2	1	3	2	]	3	
Turnover Rate for >50	10%	6%	8%	9%	5%	7%	
Percentage of Employees <30	10%	6%	8%	9%	5%	7%	
Percentage of Employees 31–49	45%	56%	50%	43%	47%	45%	
Percentage of Employees >50	45%	44%	45%	48%	47%	48%	

#### INDEPENDENT LIMITED ASSURANCE STATEMENT

To: The Stakeholders of Dundee Precious Metals Inc.

#### Introduction and objectives of work

Bureau Veritas UK Ltd (Bureau Veritas) has been engaged by Dundee Precious Metals Inc. (DPM) to provide independent limited assurance of the reliability and accuracy of its health, safety and environment (HSE) data, as reported in the 2019 Sustainability Performance Data Supplement (the 'Data Supplement') published on DPM's website. This Assurance Statement applies to the related information included within the scope of work described below.

#### Scope of work

The scope of our work was limited to assurance over the following information included within the Data Supplement for the period January 1, 2019 – December 31, 2019 (the 'Selected Information'):

- Data reported in Environment section of the Data Supplement. The sites included in these data sets are Chelopech, Bulgaria (Chelopech), Ada Tepe, Bulgaria (Ada Tepe), and Tsumeb, Namibia (Tsumeb): and
- Data reported in Health and safety section of the Data Supplement.
   The sites included in these data sets are Chelopech, Ada Tepe, and
   Tsumeb, the Exploration business and Capital Projects of DPM.

#### **Limitations and Exclusions**

Excluded from the scope of our work is any verification of information relating to:

- any other information included in the Data Supplement that is not detailed in the Scope of work Section above;
- activities outside the defined verification period;
- financial data taken from DPM's annual report and accounts which
  is audited by an external financial auditor, including but not limited to
  any statements relating to production, tax, sales, and financial investments: and
- the appropriateness of the Reporting Criteria and its boundaries.

This limited assurance engagement relies on a risk based selected sample of the Selected Information and the associated limitations that this entails. The reliability of the reported data is dependent on the accuracy of metering and other measurement arrangements employed at site level, not addressed as part of this assurance. This independent statement should not be relied upon to detect all errors, omissions or misstatements that may exist.

#### Responsibilities

The preparation and presentation of the Selected Information in the Data Supplement are the sole responsibility of the management of DPM.

Bureau Veritas was not involved in the compilation of the Data Supplement. Our responsibilities were to:

- obtain limited assurance about whether the Selected Information has been prepared in accordance with internationally acceptable definitions of the relevant indicators;
- form an independent conclusion based on the assurance procedures performed and evidence obtained; and
- report detailed findings and recommendations to the management of DPM.

Our work has been undertaken in accordance with the terms of our engagement with DPM and for those matters we have been engaged for. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than DPM for our work, for this statement, or for the conclusions we have reached.

#### **Assessment Standard**

We performed our work in accordance with International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE) 3000 Revised, Assurance Engagements Other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information (effective for assurance reports dated on or after December 15, 2015), issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board.

Our conclusions are for 'limited' assurance as set out in ISAE 3000.

#### **Reporting Criteria**

The Selected Information has been prepared in accordance with internal definitions established by DPM in its Glossary of Common Sustainable Business Development Terms 2019.

#### Summary of work performed

As part of our independent verification, our work included:

- Conducting interviews, both face to face and via web-conference, with relevant DPM personnel working in HSE;
- Conducting a site visit to Tsumeb for verification of site data reported on a sample basis;
- Conducting a remote site visit to Chelopech<sup>1</sup> for verification of site data reported on a sample basis, including a remote interview and live demonstration with a third party overseeing the maintenance of the site's environment data system;
- Conducting a remote review of the Selected Information against the corresponding source documentation on a sample basis from other sites and projects;
- 5. Reviewing the data collection and consolidation processes used to compile Selected Information, including assessing assumptions made, and the data scope and reporting boundaries; and

6. Re-performed a selection of aggregation calculations of the Selected Information

#### Conclusion

On the basis of our methodology and activities described above, nothing has come to our attention to indicate that the Selected Information is not fairly stated in all material respects.

Further detailed recommendations are provided to DPM in the form of an internal Management Report.

#### Statement of Independence, Integrity and Competence

Bureau Veritas is an independent professional services company that specialises in quality, environmental, health, safety and social accountability with over 190 years of history. Its assurance team has extensive experience in conducting verification over environmental, social, ethical and health and safety information, systems and processes.

Bureau Veritas operates a certified<sup>2</sup> Quality Management System which complies with the requirements of ISO 9001:2015, and accordingly maintains a comprehensive system of quality control including documented policies and procedures regarding compliance with ethical requirements, professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements.

Bureau Veritas has implemented and applies a Code of Ethics, which meets the requirements of the International Federation of Inspections Agencies (IFIA)<sup>3</sup>, across the business to ensure that its employees maintain integrity, objectivity, professional competence and due care, confidentiality, professional behaviour and high ethical standards in their day-to-day business activities.

The assurance team for this work conducted the verification independently and to our knowledge does not have any conflict of interest due to any other Bureau Veritas projects with DPM.

#### Bureau Veritas UK Ltd.

London 22 April 2020



<sup>1</sup> A physical site visit was planned for 17-19 March 2020 but had to be cancelled due to the Covid-19 pandemic outbreak and associated restrictions on travel.

<sup>2</sup> Certificate of Registration available upon request

<sup>3</sup> International Federation of Inspection Agencies - Compliance Code - Third Edition



dundeeprecious.com

We succeed because we care.